

A Quick Review of Post-Election Audit Models

Joseph Lorenzo Hall
UC Berkeley, School of Information
NSF ACCURATE Center

Overview

- My Background
- Goals in Post-Election Audits
- Post-Election Audit Models
 - Fixed Percentage Model
 - Adjustable Percentage Model
 - Polling Model
- Recommendations

My Background

- Masters degrees in Astrophysics and Information Systems
- PhD advisers are law professors
- Thesis involves public policy mechanisms for increasing transparency in voting systems

2 July 2006

Joseph Lorenzo Hall; UC Berkeley, iSchool.
(cc) 2007, by-nc-nd/2.5/

3

BC/SC Study

- Brennan Center and Samuelson Clinic convened a blue-ribbon panel in Dec.
- Studied literature, news reports, existing laws and procedures and interviewed election officials.
- 50+ page report due out within the month.
- Limitation: very narrow focus.

2 July 2006

Joseph Lorenzo Hall; UC Berkeley, iSchool.
(cc) 2007, by-nc-nd/2.5/

4

Background

- 28 states require paper records.
- 13 states require them to be used in post-election audits.
- Wide variability in how this is done.
- Widespread agreement that more attention needs to be paid to audits.

2 July 2006

Joseph Lorenzo Hall; UC Berkeley, iSchool.
(cc) 2007, by-nc-nd/2.5/

5

Goals in PE Audits

- Minimize administrative burden
- Objectivity (minimize subjectivity)
- Increase public confidence
- Deter fraud
- Detect systemic error
- Provide feedback (quality control)
- Incentives and benchmarks
- Confirm the result

2 July 2006

Joseph Lorenzo Hall; UC Berkeley, iSchool.
(cc) 2007, by-nc-nd/2.5/

6

Fixed Percentage

- A fixed percentage of units are chosen randomly.
- Strengths:
 - Pinpoint error, fraud
 - Decent sample for quality control
 - Predictable administrative costs
- Weaknesses:
 - Confidence can be low in close races

2 July 2006

Joseph Lorenzo Hall; UC Berkeley, iSchool.
(cc) 2007, by-nc-nd/2.5/

7

Adjustable Percentage

- Percentage of units based on margin.
- Strengths:
 - Can fix confidence in results, vary sample
- Weaknesses:
 - Costs are much harder to predict
 - Undervalues administrative feedback (quality control)

2 July 2006

Joseph Lorenzo Hall; UC Berkeley, iSchool.
(cc) 2007, by-nc-nd/2.5/

8

Polling Model

- Percentage of ballots auditing in each polling place.
- Strengths:
 - Distributes work
 - Very accurately predicts global discrepancy
- Weaknesses:
 - No information as to source of error
 - Very challenging to staff, conduct
 - Very small errors would not be detected

2 July 2006

Joseph Lorenzo Hall; UC Berkeley, iSchool.
(cc) 2007, by-nc-nd/2.5/

9

Recommendations

- A hybrid, tiered approach will give some benefits of adjustable percentage while bounding administrative costs
- Use transparent random selection
- Audit a minimum percentage
- Only select and audit after ballots have been counted

2 July 2006

Joseph Lorenzo Hall; UC Berkeley, iSchool.
(cc) 2007, by-nc-nd/2.5/

10

Recommendations

- Audit all methods of casting ballots
- Report overvotes, undervotes, spoiled ballots, blank ballots and cancellations (for DREs)
- Conduct audit closely after selection
- Tighten physical security of materials
- Clear protocols for handling discrepancy